(X)
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

CIVIL REVISION NO. 149 /2005 Single Bench

APPLICANT
Respondent No.1 : Taukir Dani, Aged about 47 years S/o. Gulam Sarvar Dani, R/o. Kabir Nagar Basna, P.S. and Tahsil Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :
Election Petitioner

1] Chandaji Ram, Aged about 65 yrs, S/o. Hanu Naik, R/o. Adarsh Nagar, Basna, P.S. and Tahsil Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

2] Jaijram Sahu, Aged about 50 years S/o. Ghasiram Sahu, R/o. Ward No. 2, Basna, Tahsil Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

3] Mohd. Irfan Gigani @ Illu, Aged 35 yrs S/o Kuddas Gigani, R/o. Bazar Para, Basna, Tahsil Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

4] Girdharilal Dadsena @ Dr. Chhotu, Aged about 45 years, S/o. Ramprasad Dadsena, R/o. Ward No. 13, Tikrapara, Basna, Distt. Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

5] Returning Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (CG)

Arvind Sharma, Tahsildar, Basna, Tahsil Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (CG)

6] District Election Officer, Collector, Mahasamund, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

Resp. No.2 to 7 respondents

P.R. No. 3216/05 Presented by Shri M.M. Pardeshi dated 28/12/05

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 26(2) OF CHHATTISGARH MUNICIPALITIES ACT 1961 R/W. RULE 19 OF CHHATTISGARH MUNICIPALITIES (ELECTION PETITOIN) RULES, 1962

... by the learned first District ...

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(51)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक C.R. No. 141/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21.12.2005 **Shri Manoj Paranjape, learned counsel for the applicant.** **Heard.** **The applicant has preferred this revision under Section 26(2) of the Chhattisgarh Municipalities Act 1961 read with Rule 19 of Chhattisgarh Municipalities (Election Petition) Rules, 1962 and challenged the impugned order passed by learned first Additional District Judge, Mahasamund and by which, disposing the application of the applicant under Order 7 Rule 11 of the CPC, has returned the election petition to be filed before the competent Court on the ground that he has no jurisdiction.** **After arguing for some time learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw this revision with liberty to raise all the objections which he has raised in the instant petition before the Court of competent jurisdiction.** **In view of the submission of the counsel for the applicant, the revision is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed of.** **Consequently I.A. No.3364/2005 stands disposed of.** **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	